

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. ALEXANDER), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BURR), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI), the Senator from Nebraska (Mrs. FISCHER), the Senator from Georgia (Mrs. LOEFFLER), the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. PERDUE), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. RISCH), and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. ALEXANDER) would have voted "yea."

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS) is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 84, nays 5, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 282 Ex.]

YEAS—84

Baldwin	Graham	Portman
Barrasso	Grassley	Reed
Bennet	Hassan	Roberts
Blackburn	Hawley	Romney
Blunt	Heinrich	Rosen
Booker	Hirono	Rubio
Boozman	Hoeven	Sasse
Braun	Hyde-Smith	Schatz
Brown	Inhofe	Schumer
Cantwell	Johnson	Scott (FL)
Capito	Jones	Scott (SC)
Cardin	Kaine	Shaheen
Carper	Kelly	Shelby
Casey	Kennedy	Sinema
Cassidy	King	Smith
Collins	Klobuchar	Stabenow
Coons	Lankford	Sullivan
Cornyn	Leahy	Tester
Cortez Masto	Lee	Thune
Cotton	Manchin	Tillis
Cramer	McConnell	Toomey
Crapo	Menendez	Udall
Daines	Merkley	Van Hollen
Durbin	Moran	Warner
Ernst	Murkowski	Whitehouse
Feinstein	Murphy	Wicker
Gardner	Murray	Wyden
Gillibrand	Peters	Young

NAYS—5

Blumenthal	Markey	Warren
Duckworth	Sanders	

NOT VOTING—11

Alexander	Fischer	Perdue
Burr	Harris	Risch
Cruz	Loeffler	Rounds
Enzi	Paul	

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the mandatory quorum call be waived.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table, and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Fernando L. Aenlle-Rocha, of California, to be United States District Judge for the Central District of California.

Mitch McConnell, John Barrasso, David Perdue, Thom Tillis, Tom Cotton, Mike Rounds, Roger F. Wicker, Kevin Cramer, Richard Burr, Mike Crapo, Steve Daines, Marsha Blackburn, John Thune, James E. Risch, Mike Braun, Tim Scott.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Fernando L. Aenlle-Rocha, of California, to be United States District Judge for the Central District of California, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. ALEXANDER), the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BURR), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI), the Senator from Nebraska (Mrs. FISCHER), the Senator from Georgia (Mrs. LOEFFLER), the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. PERDUE), and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. ALEXANDER) would have voted "yea."

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS) is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SCOTT of Florida). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 82, nays 7, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 283 Ex.]

YEAS—82

Baldwin	Coons	Hassan
Barrasso	Cornyn	Heinrich
Bennet	Cortez Masto	Hoeven
Blunt	Cotton	Hyde-Smith
Booker	Cramer	Inhofe
Boozman	Crapo	Johnson
Braun	Daines	Jones
Brown	Duckworth	Kaine
Cantwell	Ernst	Kelly
Capito	Feinstein	Kennedy
Cardin	Gardner	King
Carper	Gillibrand	Klobuchar
Casey	Graham	Lankford
Cassidy	Grassley	Leahy
Collins		Manchin

McConnell	Rosen	Tester
Menendez	Rubio	Thune
Merkley	Sasse	Tillis
Moran	Schatz	Toomey
Murkowski	Schumer	Udall
Murphy	Scott (FL)	Van Hollen
Murray	Scott (SC)	Warner
Peters	Shaheen	Whitehouse
Portman	Shelby	Wicker
Reed	Sinema	Wyden
Risch	Smith	Young
Roberts	Stabenow	
Romney	Sullivan	

NAYS—7

Blumenthal	Lee	Warren
Hawley	Markey	
Hirono	Sanders	

NOT VOTING—11

Alexander	Enzi	Paul
Blackburn	Fischer	Perdue
Burr	Harris	Rounds
Cruz	Loeffler	

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 82, the nays are 7.

The motion is agreed to.

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The legislative clerk read the nomination of Fernando L. Aenlle-Rocha, of California, to be United States District Judge for the Central District of California.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Pennsylvania.

CORONAVIRUS

Mr. TOOMEY. Mr. President, earlier today, the minority leader came down to the Senate floor and unfortunately once again mischaracterized both the objectives and motives of Senate Republicans in the pursuit of the changes we wanted to make with respect to the CARES Act emergency lending program, and I want to address that. But let me just say candidly that I understand his frustration. Republicans together set out four goals for the country with respect to these programs, and we achieved all four goals. I guess that is a little bit frustrating.

Let me go through just a little bit of recent history on this. Let's remember that this debate has been going on for several months. The Democrats' original position was to keep these programs in place. In fact, many of them wanted to expand them. They certainly wanted to extend them well past the end of the year. How do we know that? Well, among other ways, Senator SCHUMER himself sent a letter to Secretary Mnuchin and Chairman Powell asking exactly that—that they extend these programs.

You might wonder, why would they want to extend an emergency lending facility when we are clearly not in an emergency in terms of the financial markets? The reason is that a lot of Democrats had other purposes in mind for these programs, and in my view, those other purposes would have constituted a terrible misuse of those programs.

One of the goals clearly was to kind of morph the Fed's Municipal Liquidity Facility into a bailout fund for States